Introduction

Culture is not only what hangs in a museum, what is printed in a “great book,” or what appears on a concert stage. Culture is also the way a family eats together, the style of a protest poster, the humor in a meme, the feeling of pride attached to a flag, the shame attached to an accent, the pleasure of a song, the design of a classroom, and the stories a society tells about who is “normal,” “dangerous,” “successful,” or “foreign.” Raymond Williams, one of the foundational thinkers for cultural studies, famously challenged narrow definitions of culture by arguing that “culture is ordinary”: culture is embedded in everyday practices, meanings, institutions, and ways of life, not only in elite art or formal education (Williams, 1958; Williams, 1976).

This book begins from that simple but powerful idea: culture is lived meaning. Human beings do not merely survive in a physical world. We interpret the world. We give names to things. We classify people. We attach value to objects, bodies, places, languages, memories, and images. A piece of cloth can become a national flag. A hairstyle can become a sign of rebellion, respectability, ethnic pride, fashion, or criminal suspicion depending on the social context. A neighborhood wall can be seen as “vandalized” by city officials and as “public art” or “community memory” by local residents. Cultural studies asks how such meanings are made, who benefits from them, who is harmed by them, and how they can be challenged.

The subtitle of this book speaks of emancipatory thought. The word emancipatory comes from the idea of becoming free from domination, dependency, or unjust constraint. In this book, emancipation does not mean a simple feeling of personal freedom. It means the difficult, collective work of understanding and transforming the social conditions that limit people’s lives. Cultural studies is useful for this work because domination does not operate only through police, law, or economic force. It also operates through stories, images, habits, pleasures, categories, silences, and common sense. Antonio Gramsci used the concept of hegemony to explain how ruling groups can maintain power not only through coercion but also by winning consent, shaping what people experience as natural, reasonable, or inevitable (Gramsci, 1971).

Consider a familiar example: an advertisement for a luxury phone. At first glance, it may seem to be only a commercial image. But cultural studies teaches us to ask deeper questions. What kind of person does the advertisement invite us to become? Does it connect technology with creativity, status, youth, masculinity, femininity, productivity, or freedom? What kinds of labor are hidden behind the smooth image: factory labor, mining, logistics, design, data extraction, content moderation? Who is represented as modern and desirable? Who is absent? What emotions does the advertisement organize—envy, aspiration, insecurity, belonging? A cultural studies approach does not say, “This advertisement is false, and therefore people who like it are foolish.” Instead, it asks how the advertisement produces meaning, how audiences may interpret it differently, and how those meanings connect to wider structures of capitalism, gender, race, class, and global inequality.

This is why cultural studies is not simply the study of “popular culture,” although popular culture is one of its major objects. It is the study of the relationship between culture and power. Power, in this book, means the capacity to shape actions, meanings, institutions, bodies, opportunities, and futures. Power is not always visible as command. It may appear as a school rule, a beauty standard, a news frame, a border category, a job requirement, a family expectation, a platform algorithm, or a medical diagnosis. To study culture critically is to ask how these forms of power become meaningful and how people negotiate, resist, reproduce, or transform them.

A second key word is representation. Representation means the process by which language, images, sounds, symbols, and narratives stand for or construct meaning about the world. Representation is not a simple mirror. A news photograph, a film character, a textbook diagram, or a social media post does not merely “show reality.” It selects, frames, emphasizes, excludes, and organizes reality. Stuart Hall argued that representation is central to the production of meaning because meanings are made through shared systems of signs, concepts, and interpretive practices (Hall, 1997). For example, if television crime dramas repeatedly show poor urban neighborhoods as naturally violent, those representations can influence how viewers imagine poverty, policing, race, and public safety. Yet audiences are not passive machines. Hall’s model of encoding and decoding explains that media producers encode preferred meanings into texts, but audiences may accept, negotiate, or oppose those meanings depending on their social positions and interpretive resources (Hall, 1980).

This point is essential for the spirit of this book. Cultural studies does not treat ordinary people as empty containers filled by ideology. People interpret, improvise, remember, enjoy, misread, and resist. A teenager may wear a school uniform in the officially required way on Monday, modify it on Tuesday, and use it ironically in an online post on Wednesday. A fan community may love a commercial film while also criticizing its racism or sexism. Migrants may preserve cultural memory while inventing new hybrid identities in a new country. Workers may use humor to survive conditions they cannot immediately change. These examples show that culture is not a fixed object. It is a field of struggle.

The word critical will appear often in this book. In everyday language, “critical” can mean negative or fault-finding. Here it means something broader and more disciplined. Critical thinking asks how something came to appear natural, who has the authority to define it, what interests it serves, what alternatives it hides, and what evidence supports our interpretation. A critical reader of culture is not someone who automatically condemns every film, song, ritual, or trend. A critical reader is someone who can examine pleasure and power together. Cultural pleasures are real; people dance, laugh, cry, identify, and feel alive through culture. But pleasures can also be shaped by unequal systems. The task is not to become suspicious of everything in a shallow way. The task is to become more honest about complexity.

For example, a popular romantic comedy may give viewers genuine comfort and joy. It may also repeat narrow ideas about gender, beauty, class, heterosexuality, or national belonging. Both things can be true. A cultural studies analysis must be able to hold contradiction without becoming confused. Culture is rarely pure domination or pure liberation. It is often mixed: a commercial song may express working-class pain while making profit for a corporation; a fashion style may challenge gender norms while being sold back as a market trend; a social media campaign may raise awareness while also depending on platform systems that collect user data. Learning cultural studies means learning how to analyze these contradictions carefully.

This book is written for undergraduate learners who want both rigor and direction. It does not assume that you already know Marxism, feminism, postcolonial theory, semiotics, discourse analysis, or media theory. When a new concept appears, we will build it from first principles. A sign, for instance, will first mean something that carries meaning, such as a word, image, gesture, or sound. Only after that will we move toward semiotics, the study of signs. Ideology will first mean a system of ideas and assumptions that helps people make sense of the world; then we will examine how ideology can support domination or resistance. Subjectivity will first mean the lived sense of being a self; then we will ask how institutions, norms, and discourses shape what kinds of selves become possible.

The path of the book moves from foundations to practice. The early chapters define culture, everyday life, and the historical emergence of cultural studies. We then study major theoretical tools: ideology, hegemony, signs, representation, discourse, media, and audience interpretation. After that, we examine major axes of power: class, race, coloniality, gender, sexuality, intersectionality, nation, migration, globalization, and digital life. The later chapters turn toward research methods, ethical responsibility, institutions, public memory, social movements, project design, and the future of the field. The aim is not only to understand theories but to use them well.

Because this is a book on emancipatory thought, it also asks what kind of learner you are becoming. Cultural studies is not neutral in the sense of being indifferent to suffering, exploitation, racism, sexism, colonial violence, ableism, authoritarianism, or environmental destruction. At the same time, serious scholarship requires evidence, patience, and intellectual humility. Paulo Freire argued that emancipatory education should not treat learners as passive recipients of knowledge but as active participants in naming and transforming their world (Freire, 1970). bell hooks similarly described education as a practice that can create freedom when it invites critical consciousness, dialogue, and the full presence of learners and teachers (hooks, 1994). This book follows that spirit, while also insisting that good intentions are not enough. Claims must be argued. Evidence must be interpreted responsibly. Communities must not be used merely as examples for academic display.

One danger in cultural analysis is overconfidence. It is tempting to look at a film, advertisement, or political speech and immediately declare, “This means oppression,” or “This is resistance.” Sometimes that may be partly true, but cultural studies asks for more. What is the evidence inside the text? What is the historical context? Who produced it? Who circulates it? Who receives it? What different interpretations are possible? What social structures shape those interpretations? What contradictions remain? A strong cultural studies argument does not merely announce a political position. It shows how meaning works.

Another danger is despair. Once you begin to see power in everyday life, the world may appear completely controlled. But cultural studies has never been only a theory of domination. It is also a theory of possibility. Hegemony must be renewed because it is never complete. Representation can be contested because meaning is never absolutely fixed. Audiences can interpret differently because social life produces different experiences. Institutions can be challenged because they are historical, not natural. Social movements matter because they create new languages, images, solidarities, rituals, memories, and futures. Culture is one of the places where domination is reproduced, but it is also one of the places where liberation is imagined.

To make this concrete, think of a protest chant. A chant is not only sound. It creates rhythm, unity, courage, memory, and public visibility. It can transform fear into collective presence. It can simplify a complex demand into a phrase that travels across streets, phones, news reports, and history. Yet a chant can also exclude, distort, or silence differences within a movement. Cultural studies would not treat the chant as decoration added to “real politics.” It would analyze the chant as part of politics itself: a symbolic practice through which people build collective power.

As you read, keep three guiding questions close:

First, how is meaning being made? Ask what signs, stories, images, categories, emotions, and assumptions are at work.

Second, how is power being organized? Ask who gains authority, who is represented, who is excluded, whose labor is hidden, whose pain is normalized, and whose desires are encouraged.

Third, how might things be otherwise? Ask what forms of resistance, reinterpretation, solidarity, memory, and imagination are already present or could be created.

These questions will return throughout the book. They are simple enough to begin with, but they become more powerful as your theoretical vocabulary grows. By the end, you should be able to design and carry out a cultural studies project: choosing a cultural object, forming a research question, selecting a theory, gathering evidence, analyzing meaning, addressing ethics, and making an argument that contributes to critical understanding.

The purpose of this book is not to make you dislike culture. It is to help you love culture more truthfully: to enjoy its creativity, recognize its wounds, question its hierarchies, and participate in its transformation. Cultural studies begins with ordinary life, but it does not end there. It asks how ordinary life might become more just, more democratic, and more open to human flourishing.

References

Freire, P. (1970). Pedagogy of the oppressed. Continuum.

Gramsci, A. (1971). Selections from the prison notebooks of Antonio Gramsci (Q. Hoare & G. Nowell Smith, Eds. & Trans.). International Publishers.

Hall, S. (1980). Encoding/decoding. In S. Hall, D. Hobson, A. Lowe, & P. Willis (Eds.), Culture, media, language: Working papers in cultural studies, 1972–79 (pp. 128–138). Hutchinson.

Hall, S. (1997). The work of representation. In S. Hall (Ed.), Representation: Cultural representations and signifying practices (pp. 13–74). Sage/Open University.

hooks, b. (1994). Teaching to transgress: Education as the practice of freedom. Routledge.

Williams, R. (1958). Culture and society: 1780–1950. Chatto & Windus.

Williams, R. (1976). Keywords: A vocabulary of culture and society. Fontana.

Document information

Introduction

Project	Cultural Studies for Emancipatory Thought
Document	Document 1.4
Author	baim@lc
Verifier	Not verified
Downloaded	July 07, 2026 07:11 KST
Status	Working
Document link	https://theorytrace.com/projects/cultural-studies-for-emancipatory-thought/documents/-introduction/